

Motorola 52nd Street Superfund Site-Five-Year Review Report Overview

CIG Meeting September 21, 2011



Arizona Department of Environmental Quality



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9



Purpose of Five-Year Review Report

- Evaluate the implementation and performance of a remedy in order to determine if it is or will be protective of human health and the environment.
- 3 questions are used to determine whether a remedy is protective:

Question A: Is the remedy functioning as intended?

Question B: Are the exposure assumptions, toxicity data, cleanup levels, and remedial action objectives (RAOs) used at the time of the remedy selection still valid?

Question C: Has any other information come to light that could call into question the protectiveness of the remedy?



Why Perform the Five-Year Review?

- Completed when cleanup remedies leave contaminants in place above levels that allow for unrestricted use and unrestricted exposure of impacted environmental media
- Required by Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) 121(c) and National Contingency Plan (NCP) 40 CFR 300.430(f)
- Self Evaluation and review of remedy
- Helps identify Issues, Progress and Recommendations



Five-Year Review Process

- All of the information gathered from the Five-Year review process is used to perform a technical assessment of the remedy.
- Based on the technical assessment, the following are identified:
- 1. Issues and noted concerns
- 2. Recommendations and follow-up actions
- 3. Protectiveness Statement



What's in the Five-Year Review

- Site Chronology and Site History
- Technical Assessment of Interim Remedy and Record of Decision (ROD)
- Community Interviews
- Inspection of Treatment Facilities
- Document Review
- Data Review



Cut Off Date

 Review period from August 2006 through October 2010

Signed by September 30th, 2011



Technical Assessment Question A

Is the remedy functioning as intended by the decision documents?

- Remedial Action Performance
- System O&M Effectiveness
- O&M Costs
- Opportunities for Optimization
- •Early Indicators of Potential Remedy Problems
- Implementation of Institutional Controls and Site Control Measures



Technical Assessment Question B

Are the exposure assumptions, toxicity data, cleanup levels, and remedial action objectives (RAOs) used at the time of the remedy selection still valid?

- Changes in Standards & To Be Considered (TBCs)
- Changes in Land Use
- Changes in Known Exposure Pathways, Toxicity, and Other Contaminant Characteristics
- Changes in Risk Assessment Methods
- New Contaminants and/or Contaminant Sources
- Progress towards Meeting Remedial Action Objectives



Technical Assessment Question C

Has any other information come to light that could call into question the protectiveness of the remedy?

```
Summary
```

remedy performance?

new contaminants?

new standards?

new models?

new pathways?

long term protectiveness?



What is in the Outcome?

- Identification of Issues
- Recommendations and Follow-Up Actions
- Protectiveness Statement
- Signed by EPA and ADEQ



Next CIG Meeting

- What does the CIG want the Five-Year Review Presentation of Findings to Focus on at next CIG meeting?
- Comparison to Issues in Last Five-Year Review
- Vapor Intrusion pathway
- What else???



Contact Information

Brian Stonebrink
Project Manager- M52 OU2
Federal Projects Unit
Arizona Department of Environmental Quality
(602) 771-4197
Stonebrink.Brian@azdeq.gov

Will Neese Project Manager URS Corporation

Felicia Calderon Community Involvement Coordinator, ADEQ (602) 771-4167 Calderon.Felicia@azdeq.gov

Leana Rosetti
Community Involvement Coordinator
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
(415) 972-3070 (800) 231-3075
Rosetti.leana@epa.gov